Scottish Journal of Geology

# A record of Eocene (Stronsay Group) sedimentation in BGS borehole 99/3, offshore NW Britain: Implications for early post-break-up development of the Faroe–Shetland Basin

M. S. Stoker, A. B. Leslie and K. Smith

*Scottish Journal of Geology* 2013, v.49; p133-148. doi: 10.1144/sjg2013-001

Email alerting service	click here to receive free e-mail alerts when new articles cite this article
Permission request	click here to seek permission to re-use all or part of this article
Subscribe	click here to subscribe to Scottish Journal of Geology or the Lyell Collection

Notes



# A record of Eocene (Stronsay Group) sedimentation in BGS borehole 99/3, offshore NW Britain: Implications for early post-break-up development of the Faroe–Shetland Basin

# M. S. STOKER<sup>\*</sup>, A. B. LESLIE & K. SMITH

British Geological Survey, Murchison House, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3LA, UK \*Corresponding author (e-mail: mss@bgs.ac.uk)

## Synopsis

A punctuated Eocene succession has been recovered in British Geological Survey borehole 99/3 from the Faroe-Shetland Basin. The borehole was drilled close to the crest of the Judd Anticline and penetrated 110.5 m into the post-break-up Stronsay Group. The borehole proved 23.8 m of Ypresian-earliest Lutetian paralic to shallow-marine deposits, unconformably overlain by 43.85 m of mid-Lutetian lower shoreface to shallow-marine shelf deposits, in turn unconformably overlain by 42.85 m of late Bartonian-Priabonian mass-flow (slope apron) and basinal deposits. At the borehole site, the Ypresian-earliest Lutetian sediments were subaerially exposed in early Lutetian times; these deposits were subsequently transgressed by the mid-Lutetian shelf-itself eroded in the late Lutetian with reworked material transported northwards and re-deposited in the Mid-Eocene basin-floor fan complex in Quadrants 213 and 214. The eroded mid-Lutetian shelf was subsequently downlapped and buried beneath prograding late Bartonian-Priabonian slope apron-to-basinal sediments as the borehole site subsided. Integrating the borehole data with the regional seismic-stratigraphy indicates three major intervals of regression - early/mid-Lutetian, late Lutetian and late Bartonian - which, it is suggested, coincide predominantly with tectonic activity, particularly compressional deformation, possibly linking the early post-break-up development of the Faroe-Shetland Basin to the evolution of the adjacent Norwegian Basin.



#### Introduction

The Faroe–Shetland Basin is one of a series of NE–SWtrending Cretaceous–Cenozoic depocentres between Ireland and mid-Norway, including the Rockall Basin and the Møre and Vøring basins, which developed as precursors to continental break-up between NW Europe and Greenland (Doré *et al.* 1999; Roberts *et al.* 1999). The main phase of extension in the Faroe–Shetland Basin occurred during the Cretaceous (Dean *et al.* 1999; Lamers & Carmichael 1999; Larsen *et al.* 2010), though continental break-up – to the north and west of the Faroe Islands – was not achieved until the Early Eocene (54.8–54.5 Ma) (Passey & Jolley 2009). Break-up was accompanied by extensive volcanism, which exploited weak spots in the increasingly thinned and rifted lithosphere of the NW European plate, including the Faroe– Shetland region (Passey & Hitchen 2011).

In common with passive margins throughout the NE Atlantic region, it is becoming increasingly apparent that the Faroe–Shetland region has experienced tectonic movements during the post-break-up Cenozoic interval, manifest as significant departures from the expected post-rift pattern of decaying subsidence due to cooling (e.g. Steckler & Watts 1978), including episodes of accelerated subsidence and uplift that were, at least in part, coeval (e.g. Andersen *et al.* 2000; Praeg *et al.* 2005; Ritchie *et al.* 2011). The most visible consequences of these tectonic episodes are the Fugloy, Munkagrunnur and Wyville Thomson ridges, all of which

http://dx.doi.org/10.1144/sjg2013-001

form major present-day bathymetric highs (Fig. 1). The disposition of the Eocene succession, which is folded about the axes of these uplifts, implies that this major phase of folding and/or uplift occurred during late Palaeogene/early Neogene times (Boldreel & Andersen 1993; Andersen *et al.* 2000; Johnson *et al.* 2005; Stoker *et al.* 2005*b*; Ritchie *et al.* 2008; Ólavsdóttir *et al.* 2010). Concomitant subsidence and the instigation of the deep-water Faroe–Shetland and Faroe Bank channels is revealed by the onlapping character of the overlying Oligocene and Miocene basinal sequences (Fig. 2).

The western and southern bounding limit of the Faroe-Shetland Basin was especially deformed by these vertical movements; thus, neither the present-day shape nor the physiography of the continental margin likely reflects the early post-break-up setting of the basin. On the basis of the occurrence of marginal deltaic systems, channelized incision of the contemporary shelf and episodic shelf-margin progradation, particularly around the southern margin of the basin, previous workers have concluded that for most of the Eocene the Faroe-Shetland Basin was a semi-enclosed basin with no deep-water outlet to the south (Robinson 2004; Ólavsdóttir et al. 2010; Stoker & Varming 2011; Stoker et al. 2013). By way of contrast, it has been suggested recently that the onset of deep-water overflow from the Norwegian-Greenland Sea into the North Atlantic, interpreted to represent the onset of a modern-style North Atlantic Deep Water mass, was established only 6 myr after break-up (at the Early/Mid-Eocene



FIG. 1. Map showing location and present-day physiography of study area, general distribution of the Eocene succession, BGS borehole 99/3, DSDP site 336 and well 214/4-1, and UK Quadrants referred to in text; also, position of profiles shown in Figures 2 and 3. Inset (a) shows regional setting of Faroe–Shetland Basin. COB, continent–ocean boundary. Inset (b) shows detailed layout of profiles in Figure 3 relative to borehole 99/3. Inset (c) shows early post-break-up structural setting of the southwestern end of the Faroe–Shetland Basin (from Ritchie *et al.* 2011). COB, continent–ocean boundary; ESB, East Solan Basin; RH, Rona High; WH, Westray High; WSB, West Solan Basin; (other) DSDP, Deep Sea Drilling Project. Bathymetric contours in metres.

boundary) (Hohbein *et al.* 2012), which is up to 15–25 myr earlier than has been proposed previously (e.g. Davies *et al.* 2001; Stoker *et al.* 2005*a*, *b*). Significantly, this interpretation invokes the presence of an Early/Mid-Eocene deepwater passageway cutting across the Faroe–Shetland region, akin to the present-day Faroe–Shetland and Faroe Bank channels, which, according to Hohbein *et al.* (2012), accumulated up to 900 m of Middle to Upper Eocene deep-marine contourite drift deposits.

In view of these highly conflicting ideas on the early post-break-up structural setting and palaeogeography of the

Faroe–Shetland Basin, this paper focuses on the Eocene succession at the southern end of the basin; specifically, British Geological Survey (BGS) borehole 99/3, which was acquired on behalf of the BGS Rockall Consortium (see the Acknowledgements section), and which penetrated an unconformity-bounded sequence of Lower, Middle and Upper Eocene post-break-up sedimentary rocks (Hitchen 1999). However, despite initial shipboard observation and reporting to the Rockall Consortium (recently summarized in Stoker & Varming 2011), no further work was undertaken on this borehole. On the basis that borehole 99/3 penetrates



FIG. 2. Geoseismic section showing the general structural disposition of the Eocene succession in the Faroe–Shetland region, including the key Eocene (Stronsay Group) seismic boundaries, T2a–T2d. FSE, Faroe–Shetland Escarpment; IMU, intra-Miocene unconformity; INU, Intra-Neogene unconformity; MEBF, Middle Eocene basin-floor sandstones; TB, Top Balder Fm. Section is located in Figure 1.

that part of the Eocene succession recently interpreted by Hohbein *et al.* (2012) as a deep-water contourite sediment drift, it was decided to re-visit this borehole in order to shed new light on the early post-rift depositional environment along the southern margin of the Faroe–Shetland Basin. To achieve this objective, we have re-logged the Eocene succession in BGS borehole 99/3 in terms of its sedimentology, and fully integrated the results with both legacy and new (autumn 2012) biostratigraphical and palaeo-environmental analyses. Considered together with the regional seismicstratigraphical architecture of the Eocene succession, we show that BGS borehole 99/3 provides important constraints on the reconstruction of the early post-break-up development of the Faroe–Shetland Basin.

#### Geological setting of BGS borehole 99/3

BGS Borehole 99/3 is located at the southwestern end of the Faroe–Shetland Channel ( $60^{\circ}$  24.8' N,  $4^{\circ}$  39.1' W) (Fig. 1). It was drilled on the lower part of the West Shetland Slope at a water depth of 983 m, and targeted Eocene strata that, through a combination of structural inversion and Neogene– Quaternary bottom-current erosion (Stoker *et al.* 2003; Smallwood 2004), occur locally at, or near to, the sea bed in this part of the Faroe–Shetland Channel (Fig. 3). This key stratigraphical borehole penetrated 56 m of Quaternary glacially-influenced mass-flow deposits (Davison & Stoker 2002) overlying 110.5 m of Eocene post-rift sediments and sedimentary rocks belonging to the Stronsay Group (Knox *et al.* 1997), and was terminated at a depth of 166.5 m below the sea bed (Fig. 4).

The inversion structure into which the borehole was drilled is termed the Judd Anticline (Fig. 3). This is one of a number of Cenozoic inversion structures widely developed throughout the Faroe–Shetland region, and which has developed in response to a series of intermittent pulses of enhanced growth linked to tectonic compression since the late Early Eocene (Ritchie *et al.* 2003, 2008; Davies *et al.* 2004;

Smallwood 2004). Growth of the Judd Anticline is one manifestation of a regional pattern of intra-Eocene post-break-up deformation, which also included episodic uplift and erosion of the flanks of the Faroe-Shetland Basin, as well as intrabasinal highs, e.g. Flett High (Robinson 2004; Robinson et al. 2004; Ólavsdóttir et al. 2010; Stoker et al. 2010; Stoker & Varming 2011). The net result of this deformation is an inverted Eocene stratigraphy that is punctuated by several unconformities of regional extent, expressed on seismic profiles as seismic reflectors T2a to T2d (Figs 2, 3 and 5). This set of seismic reflectors was first proposed by Stoker & Varming (2011), and was used to establish a provisional seismic-stratigraphical subdivision of the Stronsay Group comprising intra-Eocene units FSP-2a to FSP-2d, which is summarized in Table 1. All of these reflectors and units were tested by borehole 99/3; however, on the basis of new and reappraised legacy biostratigraphical data (Table 2), the ages of the reflectors (and thus the intra-Eocene units) have been revised (Table 3). This new revised stratigraphical framework replaces the previous scheme proposed by Hitchen (1999), and summarized in Stoker & Varming (2011: their table 5), which failed to differentiate fully between rocks of early Mid-Eocene (Lutetian) and late Mid- to Late Eocene (Bartonian-Priabonian) age.

A key observation – first demonstrated by Lamers & Carmichael (1999, their fig. 5) – is that the Eocene succession thins southwestwards along the axis of the Faroe–Shetland Basin. What is also clear from the work of Lamers & Carmichael (1999), as well as the present study, is that the Middle and Upper Eocene sequences onlap, are variably folded by, and are locally cut-out on the flanks of, growth structures at the SW end of the basin, including the Judd Anticline (Fig. 5). Regional seismic-stratigraphical correlation between Quadrants 204 and 214 (Lamers & Carmichael 1999; Robinson 2004) has also revealed that the well-established Middle Eocene basin-floor fan deposits in Quadrants 213 and 214 (cf. Brooks *et al.* 2001; Davies & Cartwright 2002; Davies *et al.* 2004), which occur in the upper part of

136

M. S. STOKER ET AL.



FIG. 3. Geological setting of BGS borehole 99/3. (a) Line drawing showing seismic stratigraphy and structural disposition of Eocene (synbreak-up Balder Formation and post-break-up Stronsay Group) in the area of the borehole (modified after Stoker & Varming 2011).
(b) BGS airgun profile 83/04-64 along axis of Faroe–Shetland Channel showing downlap of reflector T2b on to T2c, and concomitant pinchout of unit FSP-2b towards borehole site. (c) BGS sparker profile 98/01-9 showing high-resolution seismic stratigraphy at borehole site calibrated to depth in metres, below sea bed, based on a two-way time of 1500 m s<sup>-1</sup> for the unconsolidated section above 98.85 m, and 2000 m s<sup>-1</sup> for the lithified section below this depth. Location of profiles is shown in Figure 1. BB, Base Balder Fm; TB, Top Balder Fm; IMU, intra-Miocene unconformity; INU, intra-Neogene unconformity; TPU, Top Palaeogene unconformity.

unit FSP-2c, are not present at the site of borehole 99/3; moreover, these basin-floor fan deposits are overlain by a major late Mid- to Late Eocene slope apron (FSP-2b) (Fig. 5) that locally interdigitates with younger basin-floor fans (Stoker & Varming 2011, their fig. 102). It should be noted that in a recent review of the Eocene succession in the Faroe–Shetland region, Stoker & Varming (2011) incorrectly jump-correlated the basin-floor fan deposits within these two separate units, whereas the present study supports the superposition of these units as proposed previously by Lamers & Carmichael (1999) and Robinson (2004). It is also apparent that Ólavsdóttir *et al.* (2010) have similarly miscorrelated



FIG. 4. Graphic log of Eocene succession in BGS borehole 99/3 (see text for details). Depth in metres, below sea bed.

# 138

# TABLE 1

#### Seismic-stratigraphic characteristics of the Eocene Stronsay Group

Seismic stratigraphy	Geometry and acoustic characteristics
Reflector T2a	Represents the top of the Stronsay Group where it is associated with a high-amplitude reflector (Knox <i>et al.</i> 1997) that is locally an angular unconformity (Stoker 1999; Davies & Cartwright 2002). More generally, the Eocene/Oligocene boundary remains poorly defined.
Unit FSP-2a	Poor to moderately reflective basinal section, with sporadic sub-parallel reflections that locally display low-angle onlap on to T2b; locally chaotic reflection pattern on SE flank of Faroe–Shetland Basin, at base of slope apron in Quadrant 204.
Reflector T2b	A high-amplitude reflector that marks the top of the prograding slope-apron (FSP-2b); downlaps on to T2c to both the NE and the SW as the slope apron pinches out laterally along the basin margin.
Unit FSP-2b	Complex sigmoid–oblique reflection configuration associated with a shelf-margin prograding wedge building out into the Faroe– Shetland Basin from the West Shetland margin; locally interdigitates with highly-reflective basin-floor fan deposits in Quadrant 204. Prograding reflectors downlap on to T2c at distal edge of slope apron. Clinoforms separate discrete packages of hummocky, structureless-to-chaotic seismic facies that comprise stacked sequences of lensoid bodies. Internal erosion surfaces, including channels up to several tens of metres deep, reported from topset strata (Robinson <i>et al.</i> 2004).
Reflector T2c	A high-amplitude reflector that marks an angular unconformity, which is especially erosional at the southern end of the basin; reflector is downlapped by clinoforms in the overlying slope apron (FSP-2b).
Unit FSP-2c	At southern end of the Faroe–Shetland Basin, mid- to high-amplitude reflectors, which display low-angle onlap on to T2d, alternate with acoustically chaotic zones; further to the NE, the upper part of the unit includes the mounded and highly reflective, acoustically chaotic deposits of the Middle Eocene basin-floor fans, which are thickest in Quadrants 213 and 214. Internal erosion surfaces, including channelized incision up to 200 m deep, have been reported from this unit (Robinson <i>et al.</i> 2004).
Reflector T2d	A high-amplitude reflector that is locally irregular and represents an angular unconformity, which is especially erosional at the south- ern end of the basin.
Unit FSP-2d	Acoustically well-layered with moderate- to high-amplitude sub-parallel reflections interbedded with stacked units (up to 100 ms TWT in thickness) of prograding oblique parallel-to-tangential clinoforms, particularly around the southern end of the Faroe–Shetland Basin; common internal and locally irregular erosion surfaces. Unit is strongly folded about the axis of the Judd Anticline.
Reflector TB	The 'Top Balder' reflector: an established regional marker that marks the top of the Balder Formation of the underlying Moray Group (Ebdon <i>et al.</i> 1995).

their Munkagrunnur Ridge Delta (within the Faroese sector) with the Middle Eocene fan deposits; seismic-stratigraphical mapping related to the present study indicates that this delta – derived from the Munkagrunnur Ridge – is of Early Eocene age, equivalent to similar units in the UK sector contained within unit FSP-2d (Figs 3 and 5).

#### **BGS borehole 99/3**

Borehole 99/3 was drilled close to the crest of the Judd Anticline, and penetrated 110.5 m into the post-break-up Eocene Stronsay Group beneath a cover of Quaternary sediment (Fig. 4). Biostratigraphical analysis indicates that at least three main phases of Eocene deposition are represented in the borehole, constrained within the following biozone ranges: NP12-14 (mid-Ypresian-early Lutetian); NP15early NP16 (mid-Lutetian); and NP17-21 (late Bartonian-Priabonian) (Table 2). The major Lutetian and Bartonian biostratigraphical breaks are confidently correlated with reflectors T2d and T2c (Figs 3 and 4). In contrast, any hiatus that might be represented by reflector T2b is not as well resolved at the borehole site, though it is evident on the seismic data (Fig. 3); thus, the age of the reflector can be re-interpreted confidently as a late Bartonian/Priabonian horizon (Table 3). Nevertheless, there is a clear lithological distinction between all four seismic-stratigraphical units and, in general terms, the cored Eocene succession at the borehole site preserves an upwards-deepening transition from a deltaic/proximal marine setting (unit FSP-2d) that is overlain by a transgressive shallow shelf (unit FSP-2c), which, in turn, is buried beneath slope apron to basinal deposits (units FSP-2b and 2a).

A lithological description of the Eocene succession is presented below (in ascending stratigraphical order), and shown graphically in Figure 4. All borehole depths cited in the text are referenced with respect to the sea bed. Ichnofabric recognition of key trace fossils (Table 4) is based on Reineck & Singh (1980), Wetzel (1984) and McIlroy (2004).

#### Lithological description

Unit FSP-2d (142.7-166.5m TD). The basal unit in the borehole consists predominantly of pale olive grey (5Y 5/2) (Munsell® colour code), medium- to very fine-grained, thickto very thick-bedded, massive to laminated sandstone with variable mud (silt and clay) content. The majority of the grains (60-80%) are quartz, but feldspar, mica, lithic grains, glauconite, sporadic ferromagnesian minerals, sparse basaltic grains, glassy volcanic fragments and abraded bioclastic (shell) material are all present. The grains are subrounded and well sorted, and commonly cemented by ferroan calcite, though several sections of sand and muddy sand, up to 0.6m thick, are devoid of any cement and have not been fully lithified. Dark patches and knots of presumed organic origin occur sporadically in the core, and a sporadic green-grey coloration might represent the presence of chlorite derived from volcanogenic clasts. Moreover, minor fine-grained, euhedral, zeolite crystal development is observed in some open pore spaces, which may reflect diagenetic derivation from volcanic materials, including glass.

The sandstone generally has a massive, homogeneous appearance, which might reflect a pervasive bioturbation of the sediment, though individual burrows are also distinguishable within the unit, and include *Planolites* and *Chondrites* ichnofabrics (Table 4). Between 165.64–166.22 m and 149.51–152.48 m, however, sedimentary lamination is well preserved, and consists of thick to very thick laminae (2–5 mm) comprising alternations of sandstone, mudstone and sandy mudstone. The laminations vary from

139

#### TABLE 2

Summary of Eocene biostratigraphy in BGS borehole 99/3, derived from Ichron Limited (2012) together with a reappraisal of analyses presented in Hitchen (1999)

Depth in borehole (m)	Biostratigraphical summary	Common age range
56.00–98.85	Dinoflagellate cysts: Heteraulacysta porosa, Cerebrocysta bartonensis, Areospha- eridium diktyoplokus, Phthanoperidinium comatum, Systematophora placantha and Heteraulacysta? leptalea <u>Foraminifera:</u> Pullenia bulloides, Pullenia osloensis, Cassidulina carapitana, Reticulo- phragmium amplectens, Gyroidana girardana, Uvigerina eoceana and Uvigerina germanica <u>Other comments:</u> Reworking of older Eocene (Ypresian–Lutetian) dinocysts, including: Diphyes Ficusoides, Dracodinium pachydermum, Homotryblium pallidum/tenuispino- sum, Eatonicysta ursulae, Aeroligera senonensis and Glaphyrocysta ordinate; together with common Jurassic and rare Carboniferous dinocyst reworking	Late Mid- to Late Eocene (NP17 and younger): Late Bartonian–Priabonian.
98.85–142.70	Dinoflagellate cysts: Phthanoperidinium regalis, Aerosphaeridium abdoniim, Diphyes Ficusoides, Phthanoperidinium comatum, Selenopemphix coronata, Aeroligera senon- ensis, Glaphyrocysta ordinate and Heteraulacysta? leptalea Foraminifera: Commonly rare to barren above basal conglomeratic lag; however, concen- tration of 'Rhabdammina' sp., Reticulophragmium sp.?Bolivina sp. (possibly Coryphos- toma sp.), Ammodiscus sp., Protobotellina sp. and Lagena sp. in basal conglomeratic lag Radiolara: Common Cenosphaera sp. Diatoms: Coscinodiscus sp. 1	Mid-Eocene (NP15 to early NP16): mid-Lutetian
142.70–166.50	Other comments: Abundant organic residues dominated by plant tissue and wood fragments; reworking of Paleocene (Thanetian) dinocysts, including: ? <i>Alisocysta circumtabulata</i> and <i>Cladopyxidium saeptum</i> ; together with common Jurassic and rare to common Carboniferous dinocyst reworking. Basal conglomeratic lag includes shark teeth, fish debris and echinoid spines <u>Dinoflagellate cysts</u> : <i>Dracodinium pachydermum</i> and <i>Dracodinium vareilongitudum</i> <u>Foraminifera</u> : <i>Cancris subconicus, Vaginulina decorate</i> (sub sp. A of King), and <i>Osangularia</i> <i>expansa</i> <u>Ostracods</u> : <i>Leguminocythereis bicostata</i> and <i>Trachyleberis spiniferrima</i> <u>Other comments</u> : Abundant organic residues dominated by plant tissue and wood fragments; abundant lurassic and Carboniferous dinocyst reworking	Early to early Mid-Eocene (NP12–14): Mid-Ypresian to earliest Lutetian

planar to wavy in form and display sharp contacts. In the upper interval, the laminations are wavy, consist predominantly of very fine-grained sandstone with scattered very coarse sand grains, and resemble small-scale current ripples. These ripples are asymmetrical, with a height of up to 1 cm, and width between about 3 and 8 cm, with superposed ripple sets (1–3 cm thick) displaying opposing flow directions.

The top of unit FSP-2d is marked by an unconformity at 142.7 m (reflector T2d), below which the uppermost part of the unit (recovered interval 142.7–143.0 m) is marked by a strongly weathered zone of rubbly and friable, iron-stained muddy sandstone.

Unit FSP-2c (98.85–142.7m). Unit FSP-2c comprises a basal conglomerate, about 10 cm thick, overlain by a predominantly argillaceous sequence of sandy mudstone (Fig. 4). The conglomerate is ferruginous, very poorly sorted and contains angular to very well-rounded, matrix-to-clast-supported, granule to pebble-grade clasts, including layered iron-stained concretionary clasts, quartz and lithic grains, glauconite and bioclastic material, set in an iron-stained matrix of silty to very fine-grained sandstone (Fig. 6). The matrix is pale yellow (2.5Y 8/4) whereas the ferruginous clasts are more generally brown (7.5YR 5/3). Uncommon sub-horizontal lenses of grey sandy mud, 0.8 cm in thickness and up to 9cm in length, might reflect bioturbation in the core. The bioclastic material includes arenaceous agglutinated foraminifera (Table 2), up to medium sand grade, and sharks' teeth; the latter are up to 1.5 cm long (Fig. 6) and the long slender blade is characteristic of a Sand Tiger Shark (http://www.elasmo-research.org/education/evolution/ guide f.htm).

TABLE 3

Revised	age	assignm	ients of	Eocene	seismic	reflectors	

Reflector	Stoker & Varming (2011)	This study
T2a	Late Priabonian/early Rupelian	Late Priabonian/early Rupe- lian (essentially 'Top Eocene')
T2b	Lutetian/Bartonian	Late Bartonian/Priabonian
T2c	Early Lutetian	Late Bartonian
Intra-FSP-2c*	(Not recognized)	Late Lutetian
T2d	Late Ypresian	Mid-Lutetian
TB	Early Eocene (Top	Early Eocene (Top Balder)
	Balder)	

\*Informal term used in this study

The conglomerate is overlain conformably by a 0.05 m-thick unit of very thin-bedded alternations of pale brownish grey (2.5Y 6/2), very fine-grained sandstone and granule to small pebble-grade layers (Fig. 6). The latter include clasts of iron-stained sediment that are matrix-to-clast-supported, very poorly sorted, and with no obvious grading. This transitional bed marks an upwards-fining from the basal conglomerate into a very fine-grained, pale yellow-ish brown (2.5Y 6/3) muddy sandstone, and eventually (above 138 m) sandy mudstone (Fig. 4).

The muddy sandstone is generally massive, but with sporadic, thick to very thick laminae of sandy mudstone, 1–4 mm in thickness; these laminations are commonly slightly darker in colour than the surrounding sandstone, and have sharp bases and tops. The sandstone contains 70% quartz, with uncommon mica, feldspar and lithic grains, and scattered matrix-supported grains (as in the transitional M. S. STOKER ET AL.



FIG. 5. Schematic section along the axis of the Faroe–Shetland Channel showing the general relationship of the Eocene seismic-stratigraphical units (FSP-2a–FSP-2d) and key reflectors (T2a–T2d; intra-FSP-2c) correlated between BGS borehole 99/3 (Quadrant 204) and well 214/4-1 (Quadrant 214). TB, Top Balder Formation.

bed) of coarse sand to granule grade. Patches of cemented material up to 5 mm in diameter are also found within the sandstone; the cementing mineral is soft and non-reactive to hydrochloric acid, and is possibly a zeolite. The sandstone is bioturbated with burrows similar to *Chondrites* in form (Table 4).

Between 138.0 and 98.85 m, unit FSP-2c consists predominantly of massive, variably coloured - pale yellowish brown (2.5Y 6/3), pale olive brown (2.5Y 5/3), pale brownish grey (2.5Y 6/2 and dark greyish brown (2.5Y 4/2) – sandy mudstone, which locally grades into muddy sandstone (Fig. 4). The fine-grained sand component includes quartz, mica, feldspar, lithic grains and glauconite. Whilst much of the unit is consolidated, there is no evidence for cementation by calcite and calcium carbonate bioclasts are fragmentary and very uncommon. Bed contacts are generally poorly resolved in the core, but surfaces at 134.61, 127.54, 126.18, 125.55, 124.89 and 104.40 m indicate changes in sedimentary style. At 104.40 and 134.61 m, relatively planar surfaces are overlain by discrete, very thin to thin-bedded mudstone, which grade upwards into sandy mudstone. At 127.54 m, a colour change reveals a planar contact that is overlain by medium-bedded, upwards-coarsening, muddy sandstone, itself in sharp contact with the overlying sandy mudstone. At 124.89, 125.55 and 126.18m colour changes highlight a series of sharp, undulating surfaces with a relief of up to 20 mm, due either to erosion or bioturbation.

The main variations in texture and structure of the sandy mudstone are revealed by subtle changes in the style of bioturbation, which includes *Chondrites*, *Planolites* and *Skolithos* ichnofabrics throughout FSP-2c, with a single occurrence of *Zoophycos* observed at 126.8 m. The intensity of bioturbation appears to be consistent throughout unit FPS-2c, with burrows common within the core, but not enough to obscure sedimentary contacts. In addition to discrete burrows, there appears to be a varying amount of biodeformation, where the sediment has been disturbed but not displaced (cf. Akhurst *et al.* 2002), leaving the existing lamination preserved. Sporadic fractures are also observed at several levels within this unit (Fig. 4), marked by dark, planar surfaces oriented at  $35-45^{\circ}$  relative to the core axis.

*Unit FSP-2b (c. 95.0–98.85 m).* The distal edge of unit FSP-2b was penetrated in borehole 99/3, which proved a massive, pale olive brown (2.5Y 5/3), unconsolidated, soft, pebbly mud resting in sharp contact with the underlying, darker-coloured and lithified unit FSP-2c. Although the mud displays general mottling, bioturbation appears to be uncommon. The main characteristic of this unit is the inclusion of matrix-supported outsized pebble-grade clasts of siltstone, 5–7 cm maximum dimension. As there is a core gap between 97.75 and 92.0 m, the boundary between units FSP-2b and FSP-2a is placed arbitrarily at 95.0 m.

*Unit FSP-2a (56.0–c. 95.0m).* This unit is characterized by poor recovery of massive, soft, unconsolidated, very slightly sandy mud. At the top of the unit, the sediment is brownish yellow (10YR 6/6) to dark yellowish brown (10YR 4/4) whereas towards the base it is dark greyish brown (2.5Y 4/2). The mud is fine grained, and contains uncommon very fine-grained sand (quartz, mica, lithic fragments) and bioclasts including benthic foraminifera and echinoderm spines. Bioturbation is difficult to identify and appears to be uncommon, though small ovoids, 2–3 mm in diameter and containing slightly darker mud, are present, indicating some biogenic activity.

Interpretation

Unit FSP-2d: The association of bi-directional ripple lamination, sporadic shell material and common bioturbation suggests that the sandstones of unit FSP-2d were deposited in a subaqueous environment with intermittent (at least) tidal influence. Micropalaeontological data comprise both indigenous marine- and terrestrially-derived forms (Hitchen 1999) (Table 2), which implies a proximal marine setting. On seismic profiles, unit FSP-2d represents an alternating stacked sequence of sheet-like and prograding sub-units (Fig. 3; Table 1); the latter have been interpreted as deltaic bodies in relation to equivalent prograding deposits in the Faroese sector (Ólavsdóttir et al. 2010). It is not inconceivable that the bioturbated sheet-like sub-units - which are penetrated by borehole 99/3 (Fig. 3) might represent shoreface, deltaic or shallow-marine shelf sandstones. The contact with unit FSP-2c is marked by a rubbly, weathered, iron-stained zone that we interpret as a subaerial exposure surface, subsequently transgressed by FSP-2c (see below). On Figure 3, this boundary is marked by an irregular reflection; the presence of similar reflective surfaces at deeper levels within unit FSP-2d suggests that intermittent exposure of this succession might have been relatively common, thereby attesting to a fluctuating deltaic/coastal/shallow-marine setting for unit FSP-2d as a whole. The ichnofabrics documented from unit FSP-2d - Planolites and Chondrites - are consistent with a coastal/deltaic setting (McIlroy 2004). It should be noted that the type material for the ostracod species Leguminocythereis



FIG. 6. Basal conglomerate of unit FSP-2c passing transitionally upwards into muddy sandstone. Inset shows shark tooth recovered from the conglomerate. bsb, below sea bed.

bicostata and Trachyleberis spiniferrima (Table 2) is from the London Clay Formation in southern England, which similarly represents marine conditions, either on an open shelf or a more restricted lagoon or embayment (Keen 1978; Hamblin et al. 1992; Ellison 2004).

Unit FSP-2c: The contact between units FSP-2d and 2c suggests subaerial exposure of the former; however, the fauna within the basal conglomerate of FSP-2c, including teeth of Sand Tiger sharks, is shallow marine (Table 2). In SE England, equivalent rocks containing shark teeth are commonly interpreted to have been deposited in open estuarine to shallow-marine environments (Curry 1992). Thus, we interpret the conglomeratic bed of unit FSP-2c as a transgressive lag deposit. This is consistent with evidence of lowangle onlap of reflections within FSP-2c on to T2d (Fig. 3b).

The overlying succession of muddy sandstone and sandy mudstone is bioturbated and contains a trace fossil assemblage (Table 4) that collectively is characteristic of a lower shoreface to shallow-marine setting (Bann & Fielding 2004; McIlroy 2004). On this basis, we interpret the bulk of unit FSP-2c, at the borehole location, as an oxygenated shallow-marine shelf sequence, though the mixing of marine and terrestriallyderived organic facies (Table 2) attests to the proximity of land. Although sandy mudstone is predominant, the sporadic occurrence of beds of coarser-grained muddy sandstone and finer-grained mudstone most probably reflects short-term fluctuations in tidal currents and sea-level. In particular, the episodic influx of sandy material and isolated gravel clasts might be indicative of a storm-influenced shelf causing higher-energy conditions in a succession commonly below wave base.

Unit FSP-2b: On seismic profiles, unit FSP-2b represents a major shelf-margin progradation from the West Shetland High into the Faroe-Shetland Basin, which downlaps on to the underlying unit FSP-2c and older strata (Figs 2 and 3). In contrast to the underlying shallow-water shelf facies of unit FSP-2c, the relief on the clinoforms associated with the prograding slope apron of FSP-2b implies water depths at the foot of the prograding wedge in excess of 350 m (Stoker &

	TABLE 4				
v trace fossils re	ecognized in	units	FSP-2c	and	2d

Trace fossil	Description	Unit
Planolites	Ovoid to lenticular, sub-horizontal burrows, 4–12 mm diameter; mud- and sand-filled; locally reworked by <i>Chrondrites</i>	FSP-2c & 2d
Chondrites	Rounded to ovoid burrows, 2–6 mm diameter; mainly mud-filled; locally branch downward; commonly found in <i>Planolites</i> burrows	FSP-2c & 2d
Skolithos	Vertical/subvertical burrows, 2–3 mm diam- eter, up to 2.5 cm long; locally cross-cuts <i>Chondrites</i> burrows	FSP-2c
Zoophycos	Rare horizontal burrow, 4 mm diameter; at least 8 cm long; internal spreiten structure; locally cut by <i>Chondrites</i> burrow	FSP-2c

Varming 2011). Borehole 99/3 penetrated the distal (basinfloor) edge of the slope apron, which has an internal acoustic structure that is characteristic of mass-flow deposits (Nardin et al. 1979; Mulder & Cochonat 1996) (Table 1). The unconsolidated pebbly mud recovered from the borehole is entirely consistent with an origin as a muddy debris-flow deposit.

Unit FSP-2a: Seismic profile data indicate some discordance, at least locally, between internal reflections within unit FSP-2a and the top of unit FSP-2b (reflector T2b) (Fig. 3). This may represent a hiatus. Although there are few diagnostic indicators of water depth, it is assumed - on the basis of the scale of the prograding clinoforms associated with unit FSP-2b (described above), which unit FSP-2a onlaps on to at the foot of the contemporary slope (Figs 2 and 3) - that the unconsolidated mud of unit FSP-2a, as recovered from the borehole, was deposited in a basinal environment that was several hundred metres deep (Stoker & Varming 2011). A significant observation is that the intensity of bioturbation appears to be relatively low, which might be indicative of anoxic or sub-oxic conditions; this in turn might suggest a restricted marine embayment.

#### Discussion

#### Eocene stratigraphical framework

At least three main phases of Eocene sediment accumulation are preserved in borehole 99/3, on the southern flank of the Faroe-Shetland Basin: (1) an Ypresian to earliest Lutetian phase of fluctuating paralic to shallow-marine deposition (unit FSP-2d); (2) a mid-Lutetian phase of lower shoreface to shallow-marine shelf sedimentation (unit FSP-2c); and (3) a late Bartonian to Priabonian phase of slope-apron development, followed by basinal sedimentation (units FSP-2b, -2a) (Fig. 7). The biostratigraphical data (Table 2) indicate that these three phases of sedimentation - at the borehole site are separated by unconformable boundaries (reflectors T2d and T2c) representing hiatuses of 2-3 Ma duration. Although there is a distinct lithological change between units FSP-2b and FSP-2a (Fig. 4), as well as a seismic-stratigraphical indication of onlap of the latter on to the former (Fig. 3), the recognition and duration of any potential hiatus associated with reflector T2b is currently unresolvable (Fig. 7).

The T2d and T2c reflections are stratigraphical boundaries that have been traced throughout the Faroe-Shetland region (Stoker & Varming 2011) (Figs 2 and 5), and provide

important palaeoenvironmental information regarding the early post-break-up development of the Faroe-Shetland Basin. The character of the rocks immediately below the T2d boundary is indicative of subaerial exposure at the borehole site in the early/mid-Lutetian, whereas the T2c surface appears to mark a relative deepening of the basin associated with the development of the unit FSP-2b slope apron in the late Bartonian. It should be noted, however, that in borehole 99/3 the T2c boundary most probably represents a composite hiatus that includes a phase of intra-FSP-2c erosion. Figure 5 shows an internal boundary (intra-FSP-2c) that effectively separates the older shallow-marine shelf deposits cored in 99/3 from an overlying section that includes the Middle Eocene basin-floor fan deposits preserved in Quadrants 213 and 214. In Quadrant 205, this boundary is expressed as an eroded and incised surface with northwardtrending channels, up to 200 m relief (Robinson et al. 2004). The significance of these channels is that they appear to feed into the Middle Eocene basin-floor fan deposits. The stratigraphical constraints provided by the FSP-2c and 2b sections recovered in 99/3 suggest that this phase of intra-FSP-2c erosion is probably of late Lutetian age (Figs 5 and 7). If the channels associated with this phase of erosion are feeders for the Middle Eocene basin-floor fan deposits, then the upper part of unit FSP-2c that contains these deposits is also probably of late Lutetian age. Thus, whereas reflector T2c is assigned a late Bartonian age, the hiatus at the borehole site probably encompasses both late Lutetian (intra-FSP-2c boundary) and late Bartonian unconformities.

#### Palaeogeography

Integration of the observations from borehole 99/3 with regional palaeoenvironmental information has resulted in the selection of five timeslices (Fig. 7), from which we have constructed of a series of schematic palaeogeographical maps that illustrate the Eocene post-break-up development of the Faroe–Shetland region on the basis of this study (Fig. 8). The five timeslices depicted in Figure 8 are: (a) late Ypresian–earliest Lutetian; (b) early/mid-Lutetian; (c) mid-Lutetian; (d) late Lutetian; and (e) late Bartonian–Priabonian. These five intervals are summarized below.

Late Ypresian-earliest Lutetian: Figure 8a depicts a restricted Faroe-Shetland Basin shortly after continental break-up, with an initial basin morphology that was likely influenced by the syn-break-up volcanic terrain, including major volcanic escarpments, such as the Faroe-Shetland Escarpment (Robinson 2004) (Fig. 2). A significant depositional element in this interval is the Munkagrunnur Ridge Delta (Ólavsdóttir et al. 2010), the development of which has been attributed to contemporary uplift around the southern margin of the Faroe-Shetland Basin; primarily the Munkagrunnur Ridge but probably also including the Wyville Thomson Ridge (Ritchie et al. 2008; Tuitt et al. 2010). Seismic-stratigraphical and sedimentological evidence from borehole 99/3 implies alternating deltaic and shallow-marine deposition along the southern margin of the basin, and suggests an oscillating relative sea-level. Sediment was also being shed from the West Shetland margin (Fig. 2). By way of contrast, tuffaceous limestone - with airfall-derived tuff fragments-recovered west of the Faroe-Shetland Escarpment has been interpreted to be indicative of a marine shelf with little derived clastic material, though common terrestrial organic material is taken to indicate the proximity of land (Waagstein & Heilmann-Clausen 1995; Andersen *et al.* 2000). The westward extent of this marine shelf remains uncertain, though it would have been bounded to the NW by the emergent Iceland-Faroe Ridge (Talwani *et al.* 1976; Berggren & Schnitker 1983) (Fig. 1).

*Early/mid-Lutetian:* The borehole site underwent subaerial exposure during this interval (Fig. 8b). Although the overall geographical extent of the area that was exposed remains uncertain, it most probably included much of the southern end of the Faroe–Shetland Basin (bearing in mind the preceding interval) and extending northwestwards along the emergent Iceland–Faroe Ridge (Talwani *et al.* 1976; Berggren & Schnitker 1983), though the occurrence of isolated paralic basins cannot be discounted (Robinson 2004). Thus, this might represent a major regression. According to Smallwood (2004) and Ritchie *et al.* (2008), early growth of inversion domes, such as the Judd Anticline, might have been instigated in the early Lutetian.

Mid-Lutetian: The borehole site was transgressed and a lower shoreface to shallow-marine shelf setting was established in the southern part of the Faroe-Shetland Basin (Fig. 8c). The geographical extent of the basinal (>200 m water depth) area most probably increased as the Faroe-Shetland Escarpment gradually became submerged and overlapped (Robinson 2004) (Fig. 2). According to Andersen et al. (2000), there remained a virtual absence of clastic material of western provenance, which they attribute to the presence of a watershed inferred to follow the spine of the Faroe Island chain, with most rivers draining to the north and NW of the present-day Faroe Islands; towards the subsiding spreading centre. Thus, the main source of sediment input remained the SE and southern flank of the Faroe-Shetland Basin. The westward extent of the shelf continued to be controlled by the Iceland-Faroe Ridge, which remained emergent at this time as evidenced by subaerial basalts at DSDP site 336 (Talwani et al. 1976; Berggren & Schnitker 1983).

Late Lutetian: In Quadrant 205, the mid-Lutetian shelf deposits that form the lower part of unit FSP-2c (Fig. 5) were incised by channels 80-200 m deep (Robinson 2004; Robinson et al. 2004). The truncation of equivalent deposits in borehole 99/3 suggests that this channelization is part of a wider zone of erosion that extended around the southern margin of the Faroe-Shetland Basin (Fig. 8d). There is no evidence of subaerial exposure of the mid-Lutetian shelf deposits in borehole 99/3, which is consistent with the submarine origin for the channels in Quadrant 205 (Robinson et al. 2004); nevertheless, a fall in relative sea-level and some degree of regression is invoked. Robinson et al. (2004) have suggested that this relative sea-level fall might be related to uplift of the Flett High, one of several intra-basinal highs within the Faroe-Shetland Basin (Fig. 1), though a eustatic fall in sea-level was not discounted. From a separate consideration of the borehole evidence, it is not inconceivable that further growth of the Judd Anticline, Munkagrunnur Ridge and Wyville Thomson Ridge might have occurred during this interval. Detritus eroded from the mid-Lutetian



FIG. 7. Stratigraphical-range and palaeoenvironmental setting of Eocene rocks in BGS borehole 99/3 (see text for details). Circled letters (a) to (e) relate to position of timeslice intervals illustrated in Figure 8. Core depths are cross-referenced to graphic log in Figure 4. Timescale from Gradstein *et al.* (2012). Q, Quadrant.

shelf accumulated further north in the pile of overlapping fans – the Strachan, Caledonia and Portree fans – that is the Mid-Eocene basin-floor fan complex (Fig. 8d).

*Late Bartonian–Priabonian:* The onset of the late Bartonian–Priabonian interval is marked by the instigation of a major phase of shelf-margin progradation – the Mid- to Late Eocene slope apron – building out northwestwards from the West Shetland region (Fig. 8e). The clinoforms associated with this progradation downlap and partially bury the Middle

Eocene basin-floor fan deposits. At the borehole site, the recovery of muddy and pebbly mass-flow deposits of unit FSP-2b associated with the distal edge of the prograding slopeapron marks a deepening of this part of the Faroe–Shetland Basin, though an overall basinward shift in coastal onlap accompanies progradation further to the NE. The slope-apron interdigitates with locally developed basin-floor fans (Stoker & Varming 2011). The scale of the clinoforms indicates basinal water depths greater than 350 m (Stoker & Varming 2011), though probably not in excess of 500 m (Thiede & Eldholm 1983). This water-depth range is consistent with the identification of stacked series of subaerial–deltaic channels, several tens of metres deep, cut into the adjacent topset deposits of the prograding wedge (Robinson *et al.* 2004).

The increased water depth on the southern flank of the Faroe-Shetland Basin is consistent with the deposition of basinal mud associated with unit FSP-2a, which overlies the slope-apron, probably following a brief hiatus. However, this deepening is likely to have represented an enclosed embayment that was fed, in part, by northward-draining deltas, including the Wyville Thomson Ridge Delta (Fig. 8e), which is a late Mid- to Late Eocene delta preserved on the northern flank of the ridge. In common with deltaic deposits of equivalent age on the Rockall Plateau (Stoker et al. 2012), the Wyville Thomson Ridge Delta was sourced from the ridge in response to local uplift - continued growth - of this inversion dome. East of the Faroe Islands, the upper Middle-Upper Eocene section is reportedly represented by a hiatus (Waagstein & Heilmann-Clausen 1995), which might indicate that the Faroe region was also emergent at this time. Thus, despite the marine embayment and relative deepening of the southern basin margin, there is much evidence of a major regression in late Mid- to Late Eocene times.

#### Regional controls on early post-break-up sedimentation

There is stratigraphical, sedimentological and palaeogeographical evidence for at least three major regressions preserved within the Stronsay Group around the southern margin of the Faroe-Shetland Basin, including: (1) early/mid-Lutetian subaerial exposure at the 99/3 borehole site; (2) late Lutetian submarine erosion of the southern shelf, and re-deposition of material as basin-floor fans (Mid-Eocene basinfloor fans); and (3) late Bartonian progradation (Mid- to Late Eocene slope apron) of the West Shetland margin accompanied by a basinward shift in coastal facies (Figs 7 and 8). In the context of a newly developing continental margin, the most likely processes involved in the formation of these unconformities are probably eustasy and tectonic activity, or a combination of the two. The base-level falls that led to late Lutetian erosion and incision of the southern shelf (Fig. 8d), and the late Bartonian progradation of the West Shetland margin (Fig. 8e), might be linked to eustatic sea-level falls according to curves presented by Haq et al. (1988) and Neal (1996), though the late Bartonian minimum is not recognized in the more recent Miller et al. (2005) scheme. The regression associated with early Lutetian subaerial exposure of unit FSP-2d does not correspond to a sea-level minimum on any of these three curves. Such ambiguity concerning the eustatic signal suggests that the magnitude of these depositional events cannot be explained by eustatic sea-level changes alone.

In considering tectonic processes, the growth of inversion domes, such as the Judd Anticline, as well as the folding and/ or uplift of the Wyville Thomson, Munkagrunnur and Fugloy ridges (Fig. 1) are testament to the effects of post-break-up compression across the Faroe–Shetland region. The integration of borehole 99/3 with the seismic-stratigraphical framework suggests that the deposition of the sedimentary packages was influenced, to some degree, by this tectonic activity, especially considering that the key unconformities and the enhanced phases of growth of the compressional structures appear to coincide in the early Lutetian and the late Lutetian–late Bartonian intervals (Ritchie *et al.* 2003, 2008; Smallwood 2004; Johnson *et al.* 2005; Tuitt *et al.* 2010).

From a wider perspective, it is worth considering the proximity of the Faroe-Shetland region to the oceanic Norwegian Basin (Fig. 1), the development of which is linked to protracted Palaeogene break-up and spreading events resulting from the failure of the active ridge in the Norwegian Basin - the Aegir Ridge - to join up with the ridge propagating from the southern NE Atlantic; as a consequence, a wide zone of extension and/or transtension developed to the south and SE of the Jan Mayen microcontinent (Mosar et al. 2002; Gaina et al. 2009; Gernigon et al. 2009, 2012). During the Eocene, two major phases of extension and fragmentation occurred on the southern part of the Jan Mayen microcontinent during chrons C21 (c. 48-46 Ma) and C18 (c. 41–39 Ma); both phases are associated with a change in spreading direction between Greenland and Eurasia, as well as a certain amount of counter-clockwise rotation of the southwestern margin of the microcontinent as rifting (and ultimately ocean spreading) developed between Jan Mayen and Greenland. This rotation has been coupled to local compression on the eastern side of the Jan Mayen microcontinent and the southwestern part of the Norwegian Basin (Gaina et al. 2009; Gernigon et al. 2012). The significance of these plate boundary reconfigurations during C21 and C18 times to the development of the Faroe-Shetland region remains uncertain; however, inspection of Figure 7 might invite speculation concerning a broad correlation between the timing of plate reorganization events in the Norwegian Basin and the formation of the Eocene unconformities reported in this study.

## Implications for an Eocene deep-water gateway in the Faroe–Shetland region

Solely on the basis of seismic interpretation, Hohbein *et al.* (2012) interpreted the inverted and domed Middle– Upper Eocene succession (comprising units FSP-2a–2c of this study) in the Faroe–Shetland Basin as a mounded deepwater contourite drift – the Judd Falls Drift – and inferred the onset of a modern-style North Atlantic Deep Water mass close to the Early to Middle Eocene boundary. This hypothesis is predicated on the assumption that a deep-water passageway traversed the Faroe–Shetland region at this time. Notwithstanding problems associated with their seismic interpretation, as documented elsewhere (Stoker *et al.* 2013), we find their hypothesis to be inconsistent with, and in contradiction of, the sedimentological evidence from borehole 99/3, which penetrates their inferred deep-water contourite sequence. Our reasons are based on the following:

• Hohbein *et al.* (2012) interpret the unconformity at the base of their inferred sediment drift body –their Intra-Eocene Unconformity; our reflector T2d – as a deepwater erosion surface; this study has shown that T2d represents a transgressive lag deposit (basal unit FSP-2c) atop a subaerial erosion surface.



FIG. 8. Series of schematic palaeogeographical maps showing the inferred spatial and temporal development of the Faroe–Shetland Basin during Eocene times: (a) late Ypresian–earliest Lutetian; (b) early/mid-Lutetian; (c) mid-Lutetian; (d) late Lutetian; (e) late Bartonian–Priabonian. Palaeogeographical information derived from: Waagstein & Heilmann-Clausen (1995), Andersen *et al.* (2000), Brooks *et al.* (2001), Robinson (2004), Robinson *et al.* (2004), Smallwood (2004); Ritchie *et al.* (2008), Ólavsdóttir *et al.* (2010), Tuitt *et al.* (2010), Stoker & Varming (2011) and unpublished BGS data (see text for details).

- The sediments immediately overlying the transgressive lag pass into lower shoreface to shallow-marine shelf facies (main part of unit FSP-2c); they are not deep-water contourite deposits.
- Although units FSP-2b and 2a represent a relative deepening of the depositional setting at the borehole site, there are no discernible indicators of sedimentary structures that commonly occur in contourite deposits, such as sharp and erosive contacts or grain-size cyclicity, which would be expected in response to changes in bottom-current velocity

(Faugères *et al.* 1993; Stow *et al.* 2002). Moreover, bioturbational mottling, which is generally common to dominant in muddy contourites, is relatively uncommon in these two units. Unit FSP-2b is unequivocally a muddy pebbly debris-flow deposit associated with a slope apron, whereas unit FSP-2a is a homogeneous mud most probably deposited in an anoxic, restricted basinal setting.

In addition to the borehole evidence, the palaeogeographical reconstructions (Fig. 8) make it difficult to concur with the viewpoint that, during the Eocene, a deep-water oceanic gateway existed across the Faroe–Shetland region. Instead, the persistent input of southerly-derived sediment into the Faroe–Shetland Basin is probably indicative of the contemporary tectonic activity affecting the southern margin of the basin, which we propose created and maintained a semienclosed depocentre with no deep-water outlet to the south. This is consistent with a growing body of biogeographical evidence that a functioning North Atlantic 'land bridge' (between Greenland and Scotland, including the Iceland– Faroe Ridge and adjacent shallow banks and ridges) persisted throughout the Palaeogene either as a terrestrial bridge or island 'stepping stones'; the latter not necessarily separated by vast areas of sea (Xiang *et al.* 2005; Beard 2008; Tiffney 2008; Denk *et al.* 2010).

#### Conclusions

- A revised Eocene stratigraphical and sedimentological framework has been established for the inverted Stronsay Group sediments in BGS borehole 99/3. Ypresian–earliest Lutetian paralic and shallow-marine deposits (unit FSP-2d) are unconformably overlain by lower shoreface to shallow-marine shelf deposits (unit FSP-2c) of mid-Lutetian age, which in turn are unconformably overlain by late Bartonian–Priabonian, deeper-water, mass-flow (slope-apron) (unit FSP-2b) and basinal (unit FSP-2a) sediments. Units FSP-2d and 2c are bounded by an unconformity (T2d) of mid-Lutetian age, whereas the boundary (T2c) between units FSP-2c and 2b is probably a composite unconformity surface at the borehole site encompassing late Lutetian (intra-FSP-2c boundary) and late Bartonian hiatuses.
- Integrating borehole 99/3 with regional seismic-stratigraphical data suggests that the various Lutetian and Bartonian stratigraphical breaks are associated with regressive events, the effects of which are especially well preserved around the southern margin of the Faroe-Shetland Basin. Early/mid-Lutetian subaerial exposure of Ypresian-earliest Lutetian deposits (FSP-2d) at the borehole site was terminated by the deposition of a ferruginous conglomeratic lag deposit (basal FSP-2c) associated with a mid-Lutetian marine transgression. Late Lutetian erosion of the FSP-2c shelf deposits led to re-deposition of the eroded material as part of the Mid-Eocene basin-floor fan complex further north; the unit FSP-2c shelf was subsequently downlapped by the late Bartonian-Priabonian slope-apron deposits of unit FSP-2b, prograding off the West Shetland margin as the borehole site subsided.
- Partial correlation of the regressive intervals to the global sea-level curve might be indicative of a eustatic signal; however, the increasingly apparent record of intra-Eocene compressional deformation in this region, including the growth of inversion domes, such as the Judd Anticline upon which borehole 99/3 is sited, implies that tectonic activity might also have exerted a control on the stratigraphical architecture of the Stronsay Group. It may be no coincidence that the Faroe–Shetland regressive intervals coincide with

chrons C21 and C18, which – from a regional perspective – correlate with episodes of compressive deformation in the Norwegian Basin. This might suggest that the early post-break-up development of the Faroe– Shetland Basin is linked strongly to the tectonic evolution of the adjacent oceanic basin.

 Sedimentological data from borehole 99/3 provides no support for a Mid- to Late Eocene contourite drift, and palaeogeographical considerations suggest that it is unrealistic to assume that a pattern of oceanic circulation, similar to the modern-day North Atlantic Deep Water regime, was active so early in the break-up of the NE Atlantic region.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank H. Johnson for comments on an earlier version of this manuscript. We are also grateful to Prof. Pat Shannon for his thorough review of the paper, and to Alan Stevenson for his careful editing. This work could not have been undertaken without the support of the following oil companies who, together with the BGS, comprised the Rockall Consortium at the time of drilling (1999) of the borehole: Agip (UK) Ltd, Amerada Hess Ltd, Arco British Ltd, BG Exploration and Production plc, BP-Amoco Exploration Operating Co Ltd, Conoco (UK) Ltd, Elf Exploration UK Ltd, Enterprise Oil plc, Esso Exploration and Production UK Ltd, Mobil North Sea Ltd, Phillips Petroleum Co. UK Ltd, Shell UK Exploration and Production, Statoil UK Ltd and Texaco Ltd, together with DECC (Department of Energy and Climate Change). This paper is published with the permission of the Executive Director, BGS (NERC).

### References

- AKHURST, M.C., STOW, D.A.V. & STOKER, M.S. 2002. Late Quaternary glacigenic contourite, debris flow and turbidite process interaction in the Faroe–Shetland Channel, NW European Continental Margin. In Stow, D.A.V., Pudsey, C.J., Howe, J.A., Faugères, J.-C. & Viana, A.R. (eds) Deep-Water Contourite Systems: Modern Drifts and Ancient Series, Seismic and Sedimentary Characteristics. Geological Society, London, Memoirs, 22, 73–84.
- ANDERSEN, M.S., NIELSEN, T., SØRENSEN, A.B., BOLDREEL, L.O. & KUIJPERS, A. 2000. Cenozoic sediment distribution and tectonic movements in the Faroe region. *Global* and Planetary Change, 24, 239–274.
- BANN, K.L. & FIELDING, C.R. 2004. An integrated ichnological and sedimentological comparison of non-deltaic shoreface and subaqueous delta deposits in Permian reservoir units of Australia. In McIlroy, D. (ed.) The Application of Ichnology to Palaeoenvironmental and Stratigraphic Analysis. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 228, 273–310.
- BEARD, K.C. 2008. The oldest North American primate and mammalian biogeography during the Paleocene–Eocene Thermal Maximum. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science*, 105, 3815–3818.
- BERGGREN, W.A. & SCHNITKER, D. 1983. Cenozoic marine environments in the North Atlantic and Norwegian-Greenland Sea. In Bott, M.H.P., Saxov, S., Talwani, M. & Thiede, J. (eds) Structure and Development of the Greenland–Scotland Ridge; New Methods and Concepts. Plenum Press, New York, 495–548.
- BOLDREEL, L.O. & ANDERSEN, M.S. 1993. Late Paleocene to Miocene compression in the Faeroe–Rockall area. *In Parker*, J.R. (ed.) *Petroleum Geology of Northwest Europe: Proceedings of the 4th Conference*. Geological Society, London, 1025–1034.
- BROOKS, J.R.V., STOKER, S.J. & CAMERON, T.D.J. 2001. Hydrocarbon exploration opportunities in the twenty-first century in the United Kingdom. *In* Downey, M.W., Threet, J.C.

& Morgan, W.A. (eds) *Petroleum Provinces in the Twenty-First Century*. American Association of Petroleum Geologists Memoir, **74**, 167–199.

- CURRY, D. 1992. Tertiary. *In* Duff, P., McL.D. & Smith, A.J. (eds) *Geology of England and Wales*. Geological Society, London, 389–411.
- DAVIES, R.J. & CARTWRIGHT, J. 2002. A fossilized Opal A to Opal C/T transformation on the northeast Atlantic margin: Support for a significantly elevated palaeogeothermal gradient during the Neogene? *Basin Research*, **14**, 467–486.
- DAVIES, R., CARTWRIGHT, J., PIKE, J. & LINE., C. 2001. Early Oligocene initiation of North Atlantic Deep Water formation. *Nature*, 410, 917–920.
- DAVIES, R., CLOKE, I., CARTWRIGHT, J., ROBINSON, A. & FERRERO, C. 2004. Post-breakup compression of a passive margin and its impact on hydrocarbon prospectivity: An example from the Tertiary of the Faroe–Shetland Basin, United Kingdom. American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, 88, 1–20.
- DAVISON, S. & STOKER, M.S. 2002. Late Pleistocene glacially-influenced deep-marine sedimentation off NW Britain: Implications for the rock record. *In* Dowdeswell, J.A. & Ó. Cofaigh, C. (eds) *Glacier-Influenced Sedimentation on High-Latitude Continental Margins*. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, 203, 129–147.
- DEAN, K., McLAUCHLAN, K. & CHAMBERS, A. 1999. Rifting and the development of the Faeroe–Shetland Basin. In Fleet, A.J. & Boldy, S.A.R. (eds) Petroleum Geology of Northwest Europe, Proceedings of the 5th Conference. Geological Society, London, 533–544.
- DENK, T., GRIMSSON, F. & ZETTER, R. 2010. Episodic migration of oaks to Iceland: Evidence for a north Atlantic 'land bridge' in the latest Miocene. *American Journal of Botany*, 97, 276–287.
- DORÉ, A.G., LUNDIN, E.R., JENSEN, L.N., BIRKELAND, Ø., ELIASSEN, P.E. & FICHLER, C. 1999. Principal tectonic events in the evolution of the northwest European Atlantic margin. In Fleet, A.J. & Boldy, S.A.R. (eds) Petroleum Geology of Northwest Europe, Proceedings of the 5th Conference. Geological Society, London, 41–61.
- EBDON, C.C., GRANGER, P.J., JOHNSON, H.D. & EVANS, A.M. 1995. Early Tertiary evolution and sequence stratigraphy of the Faeroe–Shetland Basin: Implications for hydrocarbon prospectivity. *In* Scrutton, R.A., Stoker, M.S., Shimmield, G.B. & Tudhope, A.W. (eds) *The Tectonics, Sedimentation and Palaeoceanography of the North Atlantic Region*. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, **90**, 51–69.
- ELLISON, R.A. 2004. *Geology of London*. British Geological Survey, Keyworth, Nottingham.
- FAUGÈRES, J.C., MEZERAIS, M.L. & STOW, D.A.V. 1993. Contourite drift types and their distribution in the north and south-Atlantic ocean basins. *Sedimentary Geology*, 82, 189–203.
- GAINA, C., GERNIGON, L. & BALL, P. 2009. Palaeocene– Recent plate boundaries in the NE Atlantic and the formation of the Jan Mayen microcontinent. *Journal of the Geological Society, London*, **166**, 601–616.
- GERNIGON, L., OLESEN, O. *ET AL.* 2009. Geophysical insights and early spreading history in the vicinity of the Jan Mayen Fracture Zone, Norwegian–Greenland Sea. *Tectonophysics*, **468**, 185–205.
- GERNIGON, L., GAINA, C., OLESEN, O., PÉRON-PINVIDIV, G. & YAMASAKI, T. 2012. The Norway Basin revisited: From continental breakup to spreading ridge extinction. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, **35**, 1–19.
- GRADSTEIN, F.M., OGG, J.G., SCHMITZ, M.D. & OGG, G. 2012. *The Geologic Time Scale 2012*. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- HAMBLIN, R.J.O., CROSBY, A., BALSON, P.S., JONES, S.M., CHADWICK, R.A., PENN, I.E. & ARTHUR, M.J. 1992. United Kingdom Offshore Regional report: The Geology of the English Channel. HMSO for the British Geological Survey, London.
- HAQ, B.U., HARDENBOL, J. & VAIL, P.R. 1988. Mesozoic and Cenozoic chronostratigraphy and cycles of sea-level change. *In*

Wilgus, C.K., Hastings, B.S., Kendall, C.G., St. C., Posamentier, H.W., Ross, C.A. & Van Wagoner, J.C. (eds) *Sea-Level Changes* – *An Integrated Approach*. Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, Special Publication, Tulsa, **42**, 71–108.

- HITCHEN, K. 1999. Rockall Continental Margin Project. Shallow Borehole Drilling Programme 1999. Geological Report. British Geological Survey Technical Report, WB/99/21C.
- HOHBEIN, M.W., SEXTON, P.F. & CARTWRIGHT, J.A. 2012. Onset of North Atlantic Deep Water production coincident with inception of the Cenozoic global cooling trend. *Geology*, **40**, 255–258.
- ICHRON LIMITED. 2012. A Biostratigraphic Evaluation of the Eocene Succession in Borehole 99/3, West of Shetland. Report prepared for British Geological Survey by Ichron Limited, October 2012 (Ref. 12/2072/B).
- JOHNSON, H., RITCHIE, J.D., HITCHEN, K., MCINROY, D.B. & KIMBELL, G.S. 2005. Aspects of the Cenozoic deformational history of the northeast Faroe–Shetland Basin, Wyville– Thomson Ridge and Hatton Bank areas. In Doré, A.G. & Vining, B. (eds) Petroleum Geology: NW Europe and Global Perspectives: Proceedings of the 6th Conference. Geological Society, London, 993–1007.
- KEEN, M. 1978. The Tertiary–Palaeogene. In Bate, R.H. & Robinson, E. (eds) A stratigraphical index of British Ostracoda. Geological Journal Special Issue, 8, Seel House Press, Liverpool, 385–450.
- KNOX, R.W., O'B., HOLLOWAY, S., KIRBY, G.A. & BAILEY, H.E. 1997. Stratigraphic Nomenclature of the UK North West Margin. 2. Early Palaeogene lithostratigraphy and sequence stratigraphy. British Geological Survey, Keyworth, Nottingham.
- LAMERS, E. & CARMICHAEL, S.M.M. 1999. The Paleocene deepwater sandstone play West of Shetland. In Fleet, A.J. & Boldy, S.A.R. (eds) Petroleum Geology of Northwest Europe, Proceedings of the 5th Conference. Geological Society, London, 645–659.
- LARSEN, M., RASMUSSEN, T. & HJELM, L. 2010. Cretaceous revisited: Exploring the syn-rift play of the Faroe–Shetland Basin. In Vining, B. & Pickering, S. (eds) Petroleum Geology – From Mature Basins to New Frontiers. Proceedings of the 7th Petroleum Geology Conference. Geological Society, London, 953–962.
- MCILROY, D. 2004. Ichnofabrics and sedimentary facies of a tide-dominated delta: Jurassic Ile Formation of Kristin Field, Haltenbanken, Offshore Mid-Norway. *In McIlroy*, D. (ed.) *The Application of Ichnology to Palaeoenvironmental and Stratigraphic Analysis*. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, **228**, 237–272.
- MILLER, K.G., KOMINZ, M.A. *ET AL.* 2005. The Phanerozoic Record of Global Sea-Level Change. *Science*, **310**, 1293–1298.
- MOSAR, J. & TORSVIK, T.H. THE BAT TEAM 2002. Opening of the Norwegian and Greenland Seas: Plate tectonics in Mid Norway since the Late Permian. In Eide, E.A. (ed.) BATLAS – Mid Norway Plate Reconstruction Atlas with Global and Atlantic Perspectives. Geological Survey of Norway, Trondheim, 48–59.
- MULDER, T. & COCHONAT, P. 1996. Classification of offshore mass movements. *Journal of Sedimentary Research*, 66, 43–57.
- NARDIN, T.R., HEIN, F.J., GORSLINE, D.S. & EDWARDS, B.D. 1979. A review of mass movement processes, sediment and acoustic characteristics, and contrasts in slope and baseof-slope systems versus canyon-fan-basin floor systems. *In* Doyle, L.J. & Pilkey, O.H. (eds) Geology of Continental Slopes. Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, Special Publication, Tulsa, 27, 61–73.
- NEAL, J.E. 1996. A summary of Paleogene sequence stratigraphy in northwest Europe and the North Sea. *In* Knox, R.W. O' B., Corfield, R.M. & Dunay, R.E. (eds) *Correlation of the Early Paleogene in Northwest Europe*. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, **101**, 15–42.
- ÓLAVSDÓTTIR, J., BOLDREEL, L.O. & ANDERSEN, M.S. 2010. Development of a shelf margin delta due to uplift of the Munkagrunnur Ridge at the margin of Faroe–Shetland Basin: A seismic sequence stratigraphic study. *Petroleum Geoscience*, **16**, 91–103.

- PASSEY, S.R. & HITCHEN, K. 2011. Cenozoic (igneous). In Ritchie, J.D., Ziska, H., Johnson, H. & Evans, D. (eds). Geology of the Faroe–Shetland Basin and Adjacent Areas. British Geological Survey Research Report. RR/11/01, Jarðfeingi Research Report, RR/11/01, 209–228.
- PASSEY, S.R. & JOLLEY, D.W. 2009. A revised lithostratigraphic nomenclature for the Palaeogene Faroe Islands Basalt Group, NE Atlantic Ocean. *Earth and Environmental Science Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*, **99**, 127–158.
- PRAEG, D., STOKER, M.S., SHANNON, P.M., CERAMICOLA, S., HJELSTUEN, B.O., LABERG, J.S. & MATHIESEN, A. 2005. Episodic Cenozoic tectonism and the development of the NW European 'passive' continental margin. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, **22**, 1007–1030.
- REINECK, H.-E. & SINGH, I.B. 1980. Depositional Sedimentary Environments. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- RITCHIE, J.D., JOHNSON, H. & KIMBELL, G.S. 2003. The nature and age of Cenozoic contractional dating within the NE Faroe–Shetland Basin. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, **20**, 399–409.
- RITCHIE, J.D., JOHNSON, H., QUINN, M.F. & GATLIFF, R.W. 2008. Cenozoic compressional deformation within the Faroe–Shetland Basin and adjacent areas. *In* Johnson, H., Doré, A.G., Holdsworth, R.E., Gatliff, R.W., Lundin, E.R. & Ritchie, J.D. (eds) *The Nature and Origin of Compression in Passive Margins*. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, **306**, 121–136.
- RITCHIE, J.D., ZISKA, H., KIMBELL, G., QUINN, M. & CHADWICK, A. 2011. Structure. *In* Ritchie, J.D., Ziska, H., Johnson, H. & Evans, D. (compilers) *The Geology of the Faroe– Shetland Basin, and Adjacent Areas*. British Geological Survey, Edinburgh and Jarðfeingi, Torshavn, 9–70.
- ROBERTS, D.G., THOMPSON, M., MITCHENER, B., HOSSACK, J., CARMICHAEL, S. & BJORNSETH, H.-M. 1999. Palaeozoic to Tertiary rift and basin dynamics: Mid-Norway to the Bay of Biscay – a new context for hydrocarbon prospectivity in the deep water frontier. *In Fleet*, A.J. & Boldy, S.A.R. (eds) *Petroleum Geology of NW Europe: Proceedings of the 5th Conference*. Geological Society, London, 7–40.
- ROBINSON, A.M. 2004. Stratigraphic Development and Controls on the Architecture of Eocene Depositional Systems in the Faroe–Shetland Basin. PhD thesis, University of Cardiff.
- ROBINSON, A.M., CARTWRIGHT, J., BURGESS, P.M. & DAVIES, R.J. 2004. Interactions between topography and channel development from 3D seismic analysis: An example from the Tertiary of the Flett Ridge, Faroe–Shetland Basin, UK. *In* Davies, R.J., Cartwright, J.A., Stewart, S.A., Lappin, M. & Underhill, J.R. (eds) 3D seismic Technology: Application to the Exploration of Sedimentary Basins. Geological Society, London, Memoir, 29, 73–82.
- SMALLWOOD, J.R. 2004. Tertiary inversion in the Faroe– Shetland Channel and the development of major erosional scarps. *In* Davies, R.J., Cartwright, J.A., Stewart, S.A., Lappin, M. & Underhill, J.R. (eds) *3D Seismic Technology: Application* to the Exploration of Sedimentary Basins. Geological Society, London, Memoirs, **29**, 187–198.
- STECKLER, M.S. & WATTS, A.B. 1978. Subsidence of the Atlantic-type continental margin off New York. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, **41**, 1–13.
- STOKER, M.S. 1999. Stratigraphic nomenclature of the UK north west margin 3 – Mid- to late Cenozoic stratigraphy. British Geological Survey, Edinburgh.
- STOKER, M.S. & VARMING, T. 2011. Cenozoic (sedimentary). In Ritchie, J.D., Ziska, H., Johnson, H. & Evans, D. (eds) The Geology of the Faroe–Shetland Basin, and adjacent areas. British Geological Survey, Edinburgh and Jarðfeingi, Torshavn, 151–208.
- STOKER, M.S., LONG, D. & BULAT, J. 2003. A record of Mid-Cenozoic strong deep-water erosion in the Faroe–Shetland Channel. In Mienert, J. & Weaver, P. (eds) European Margin

Sediment Dynamics: Side-Scan Sonar and Seismic Images. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 145–148.

- STOKER, M.S., PRAEG, D. *ET AL.* 2005a. Neogene evolution of the Atlantic continental margin of NW Europe (Lofoten Islands to SW Ireland): Anything but passive. *In Doré*, A.G. & Vining, B. (eds) *Petroleum Geology: North-West Europe and Global Perspectives, Proceedings of the 6th Petroleum Geology Conference*. Geological Society, London, 1057–1076.
- STOKER, M.S., HOULT, R.J. *ET AL.* 2005b. Sedimentary and oceanographic responses to early Neogene compression on the NW European margin. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, **22**, 1031–1044.
- STOKER, M.S., HOLFORD, S.P., HILLIS, R.R., GREEN, P.F. & DUDDY, I.R. 2010. Cenozoic post-rift sedimentation off northwest Britain: Recording the detritus of episodic uplift on a passive continental margin. *Geology*, **38**, 595–598.
- STOKER, M.S., KIMBELL, G.S., MCINROY, D.B. & MORTON, A.C. 2012. Eocene post-rift tectonostratigraphy of the Rockall Plateau, Atlantic margin of NW Britain: Linking early spreading tectonics and passive margin response. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 30, 98–125.
- STOKER, M.S., LESLIE, A., SMITH, K., ÓLAVSDÓTTIR, J., JOHNSON, H. & LABERG, J.S. 2013. Comment: Onset of North Atlantic Deep Water production coincident with inception of the Cenozoic global cooling trend. *Geology*, **41**, e291, http:// dx.doi.org/10.1130/G33670C.1.
- STOW, D.A.V., FAUGÉRES, J.C., HOWE, J.A., PUDSEY, C.J. & VIANA, A.R. 2002. Bottom currents, contourites and deepsea sediment drifts: Current state-of-the-art. *In* Stow, D.A.V., Pudsey, C.J., Howe, J.A., Faugères, J.C. & Viana, A.R. (eds) *Deep-Water Contourite Systems: Modern Drifts and Ancient Series, Seismic and Sedimentary Characteristics*. Geological Society, London, Memoirs, 22, 7–20.
- TALWANI, M., UDINTSEV, G. ET AL. 1976. 2. Sites 336 and 352. In Talwani, M., Udintsev, G. ET AL. (eds) Initial Reports of the Deep Sea Drilling Project. 38, 23–116. US Government Printing Office, Washington.
- THIEDE, J. & ELDHOLM, O. 1983. Speculations about the paleodepth of the Greenland–Scotland Ridge during Late Mesozoic and Cenozoic times. *In* Bott, M.H.P., Saxov, S., Talwani, M. & Thiede, J. (eds) *Structure and Development of the Greenland– Scotland Ridge; new methods and concepts*. Plenum Press, New York, 445–456.
- TIFFNEY, B.H. 2008. Phylogeography, fossils, and Northern Hemisphere biogeography: The role of physiological uniformitarianism. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden*, **95**,135–143.
- TUITT, A., UNDERHILL, J.R., RITCHIE, J.D., JOHNSON, H. & HITCHEN, K. 2010. Timing, controls and consequences of compression in the Rockall–Faroe area of the NE Atlantic Margin. In Vining, B.A. & Pickering, S.C. (eds) Petroleum Geology: From Mature Basins to New Frontiers – Proceedings of the 7th Petroleum Geology Conference. Geological Society, London, 963–977.
- WAAGSTEIN, R. & HEILMANN-CLAUSEN, C. 1995. Petrography and biostratigraphy of Palaeogene volcaniclastic sediments dredged from the Faroes shelf. *In* Scrutton, R.A., Stoker, M.S., Shimmield, G.B. & Tudhope, A.W. (eds) *The Tectonics, Sedimentation and Palaeoceanography of the North Atlantic Region.* Geological Society, London, Special Publications, **90**, 179–197.
- WETZEL, A. 1984. Bioturbation in deep-sea fine-grained sediments: Influence of sediment texture, turbidite frequency and rates of environmental change. *In* Stow, D.A.V. & Piper, D.J.W. (eds) *Fine-Grained Sediments: Deep-Water Processes and Facies*. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, **15**, 595–608.
- XIANG, Q. –Y., MANCHESTER, S.R., THOMAS, D.T., ZHANG, W. & FAN, C. 2005. Phylogeny, biogeography, and molecular dating of Cornelian Cherries (*Cornus*, Cornaceae): Tracking Tertiary plant migration. *Evolution*, **59**, 1685–1700.

MS. accepted for publication 15 August 2013